Senior Care Task Force, Working Group Recommendation Characterization Rubric

Recommendation:	
Rationale:	
Ease of Implementation (Score 1-10):	Potential for High Impact (Score 1-10):
Consider:	Consider:
\Box Change, (Easiest)	Will it benefit seniors living in Kansas?
□Pilot,	□Yes □ No
\Box Overhaul,	
\Box New, (Most difficult)	Will it significantly impact subpopulations?
Will cost be a barrier to implementation?	□Geography (urban, rural, frontier)
win cost se a barrier to implementation.	\Box Low-income individuals
Does the recommendation include strategies for	
continuity? (How does it consider sustainability?)	□ Individuals with [Acute] Behavioral Healthcare Needs
	□ Individuals with [/DD or PD
Which of the following mechanisms may affect	Limited English Proficient (LEP) persons
the achievability of the recommendation?	Others? (List here)
□ Legislative session	
Federal approval process	Does it serve those who have been disproportionately
\Box Regulatory process	impacted by the issue? (Does it address inequities?)
\Box Contracts	
□ Agency budget development	Could the recommendation produce savings in other
\Box Grant cycles	areas?
□ Systems (e.g., IT)	
□ Jystems (e.g., 17) □ Technology/Infrastructure	
How does this recommendation contribute to the well-being of seniors living in Kansas?	
Action Lead:	Key Collaborators:
[Who takes point on this recommendation?]	[Who should be included as decisions are made about how to
	implement this recommendation?]
Intensity of Consensus : [Does it align with vision statement of "Establish and expand a clear path with public policy recommendations for Kansas older adults and caregivers to access services." To be addressed during final review.]	
Key Performance Indicators: [How can the state assess progress when this recommendation is implemented?]	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	