Senior Care Task Force, Working Group A

Recommendation Characterization Rubric

<table>
<tr><td colspan="2">Recommendation:</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">Rationale:</td></tr>
<tr><td>Ease of Implementation (Score 1-10):</td><td>Potential for High Impact (Score 1-10):</td></tr>
<tr><td>Consider:
☐Change, (Easiest)
☐Pilot,
☐Overhaul,
☐New, (Most difficult)

Will cost be a barrier to implementation?

Does the recommendation include strategies for continuity? (How does it consider sustainability?)

Which of the following mechanisms may affect the achievability of the recommendation?
☐ Legislative session
☐ Federal approval process
☐ Regulatory process
☐ Contracts
☐ Agency budget development
☐ Grant cycles
☐ Systems (e.g., IT)
☐ Technology/Infrastructure</td><td>Consider:
Will it benefit seniors living in Kansas?
☐Yes ☐ No

Will it significantly impact subpopulations?
☐Individuals with Alzheimer’s
☐Geography (urban, rural, frontier)
☐Low-income individuals
☐Uninsured or Underinsured individuals
☐Individuals with [Acute] Behavioral Healthcare Needs
☐Individuals with I/DD or PD
☐Limited English Proficient (LEP) persons
☐Others? (List here)

Does it serve those who have been disproportionately impacted by the issue? (Does it address inequities?)

Could the recommendation produce savings in other areas?</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">How does this recommendation contribute to the well-being of seniors living in Kansas?</td></tr>
<tr><td>Action Lead:
[Who takes point on this recommendation?]</td><td>Key Collaborators:
[Who should be included as decisions are made about how to implement this recommendation?]</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">Intensity of Consensus: [Does it align with vision statement of “Older Kansans will have access and the ability to choose and receive high-quality, person-centered services wherever they reside.” To be addressed during final review.]</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">Key Performance Indicators: [How can the state assess progress when this recommendation is implemented?]</td></tr>
</table>