Mental Health Modernization and Reform, Working Group Recommendation Rubric, 2020

Recommendation:	
Rationale:	
Ease of Implementation (Score 1-10):	Potential for High Impact (Score 1-10):
Consider:	Consider:
Program Change, (Easiest)	Will it benefit a large population? \Box Yes \Box No
□Pilot Program,	
□Program Overhaul,	Will it significantly impact special populations?
□New Program, (Most difficult)	Foster care
	Frontier communities
Will cost be a barrier to implementation?	□Rural communities
	□Urban communities
Does the recommendation include strategies for continuity? (How does	□Limited English Proficient (LEP) persons
it consider sustainability?)	□Low-income individuals
	□ Children
Which of the following mechanisms may affect the achievability of the	□Others? (List here)
recommendation?	
Legislative session	Does it serve those who have been disproportionately impacted by the issue?
Federal approval process	(Does it address inequities?)
Regulatory process	
Contracts	Could the recommendation produce savings in other areas?
□ Agency budget development	
□ Grant cycles	
Systems (e.g., IT)	
How does this recommendation contribute to modernization?	
Action Lead:	Key Collaborators:
[Who takes point on this recommendation?]	[Who should be included as decisions are made about how to implement this
	recommendation?]
Intensity of Consensus:	
	nodernization and reform of the behavioral health system in the state? Does a wide
cross-section of stakeholders feel that this recommendation would be mut	ually beneficial? To be addressed during final review.]

Recommendation:

Rationale:

onsider:]Program Change, (Easiest)	
Program Change, (Easiest)	Consider:
	Will it benefit a large population? \Box Yes \Box No
Pilot Program,	
Program Overhaul,	Will it significantly impact special populations?
□New Program, (Most difficult) <i>look at peer and national programs</i>	□Foster care
	□Rural communities
'ill cost be a barrier to implementation? With appropriate data could get	t 🗆 Urban communities
legislative support.	□Limited English Proficient (LEP) persons
	□Low-income individuals
pes the recommendation include strategies for continuity? (How does it	□ Children
consider sustainability?)	□Others? (List here)
hich of the following mechanisms may affect the achievability of the	Does it serve those who have been disproportionately impacted by the issue?
commendation?	(Does it address inequities?)
Legislative session	
Federal approval process	Could the recommendation produce savings in other areas?
Regulatory process	
Contracts	
Agency budget development	
Grant cycles	
Systems (e.g., IT)	
ow does this recommendation contribute to modernization?	
ction Lead:	Key Collaborators:
Who takes point on this recommendation?]	[Who should be included as decisions are made about how to implement this recommendation?]
tensity of Consensus:	
s there group consensus that this recommendation is important for the n	nodernization and reform of the behavioral health system in the state? Does a wid