Mental Health Modernization and Reform, Working Group Recommendation Rubric, 2020

Recommendation:	
Rationale:	
Face of Implementation (Coare 1 10).	Detential for High Impact (Coars 1 10).
Ease of Implementation (Score 1-10):	Potential for High Impact (Score 1-10):
Consider:	Consider:
Program Change, (Easiest)	Will it benefit a large population? □Yes □ No
☐ Pilot Program,	Marilla de altre este de la constante de la co
□ Program Overhaul,	Will it significantly impact special populations?
□ New Program, (Most difficult)	□ Foster care
	☐ Rural communities
Will cost be a barrier to implementation?	☐ Urban communities
	☐ Limited English Proficient (LEP) persons
Does the recommendation include strategies for continuity? (How does it	□Low-income individuals
consider sustainability?)	□Children
	□Others? (List here)
Which of the following mechanisms may affect the achievability of the	
recommendation?	Does it serve those who have been disproportionately impacted by the issue?
☐ Legislative session	(Does it address inequities?)
☐ Federal approval process	
☐ Regulatory process	Could the recommendation produce savings in other areas?
☐ Contracts	
☐ Agency budget development	
☐ Grant cycles	
☐ Systems (e.g., IT)	
How does this recommendation contribute to modernization?	
Action Lead:	Key Collaborators:
[Who takes point on this recommendation?]	[Who should be included as decisions are made about how to implement this
Line takes point on the recommendations	recommendation?]
Intensity of Consensus:	1.000
[Is there group consensus that this recommendation is important for the model	rnization and reform of the behavioral health system in the state? Does a wide
cross-section of stakeholders feel that this recommendation would be mutually	