

Senior Care Task Force, Working Group Recommendation Characterization Rubric

Recommendation:	
Rationale:	
Ease of Implementation (Score 1-10):	Potential for High Impact (Score 1-10):
<p>Consider:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Change, (Easiest)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Pilot,</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Overhaul,</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> New, (Most difficult)</p> <p>Will cost be a barrier to implementation?</p> <p>Does the recommendation include strategies for continuity? <i>(How does it consider sustainability?)</i></p> <p>Which of the following mechanisms may affect the achievability of the recommendation?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Legislative session</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Federal approval process</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Regulatory process</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Contracts</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Agency budget development</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Grant cycles</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Systems (e.g., IT)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Technology/Infrastructure</p>	<p>Consider:</p> <p>Will it benefit seniors living in Kansas?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Will it significantly impact subpopulations?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Individuals with Alzheimer's</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Geography (urban, rural, frontier)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Low-income individuals</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Uninsured or Underinsured individuals</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Individuals with [Acute] Behavioral Healthcare Needs</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Individuals with I/DD or PD</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Limited English Proficient (LEP) persons</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Others? <i>(List here)</i></p> <p>Does it serve those who have been disproportionately impacted by the issue? <i>(Does it address inequities?)</i></p> <p>Could the recommendation produce savings in other areas?</p>
How does this recommendation contribute to the well-being of seniors living in Kansas?	
Action Lead: <i>[Who takes point on this recommendation?]</i>	Key Collaborators: <i>[Who should be included as decisions are made about how to implement this recommendation?]</i>
Intensity of Consensus: <i>[Does it align with vision statement of "To utilize a systematic approach to understand the needs of the formal and informal workforce serving seniors in the state of Kansas; while discovering these needs, creating a long-term approach with public policy recommendations to entice a workforce to return to and be retained in the senior services industry, to enable seniors and their families to have supports to make choices for their best lives, in their preferred environment." To be addressed during final review.]</i>	
Key Performance Indicators: <i>[How can the state assess progress when this recommendation is implemented?]</i>	