# **Network Adequacy**

### **Stakeholder Working Group Questions**

- What is the network adequacy in KanCare, relative to a benchmark (e.g., contract standard)?
- If network adequacy is below the benchmark, why?

#### **Research Questions**

- **RC11a.** What is the current measure for network adequacy in KanCare relative to a benchmark (e.g., contract standard)?
- **RC11b.** Overall, do KanCare members feel they have adequate access to care and services?

### **Informational Questions**

- How often does network adequacy being below the benchmark occur? What are main reasons?
- What is the process of "secret shoppers" and are summary data available?
- What will KanCare MCOs do when members do not have access to care/services as required by the contract for network adequacy? What adjustments do they make to get KanCare members access when there are gaps?

### Measures, Data Sources and Reports by Research Question

• **RC11a.** What is the current measure for network adequacy in KanCare relative to a benchmark (e.g., contract standard)?

| Measure   | Data Source  | Report   |
|---|--|--|
| Percent of members covered<br>within network adequacy<br>standards by provider type,<br><u>MCO, and geographies</u><br>Number and percent of<br>members not within access<br>distance by MCO, provider<br>type, and geography<br>Number of counties (and<br>members) with 0% provider<br>access by MCO, provider type,<br>and geography           | KanCare Network Adequacy<br>Reporting<br>Measures are:<br>• Available in public reports<br>• Submitted to the State by the MCO<br>• For KanCare members overall<br>• Reported quarterly<br>• Available for trend analysis<br>• Based on contract agreement<br>• Applicable directly without<br>additional resources  | MCO Network<br>Access May 2019;<br>KanCare<br>Evaluation Annual<br>Report 12.31.18<br>(Provider<br>Network—<br>GeoAccess, page<br>155-175; Table 37,<br>page 161; Table<br>36, page 159) |
| Number and percent of waiver<br>participants who received<br>services in the type, scope,<br>amount, duration, and<br>frequency specified in the<br>service plan (PM8; This<br>measure will be revised and<br>replaced soon for the state<br>reporting.)<br>Number and percent of survey<br>respondents who reported<br>receiving all services as | <ul> <li>KDADS HCBS Quality Review<br/>Report</li> <li>Measures are:</li> <li>Available in public reports</li> <li>For HCBS waiver participants</li> <li>(To be confirmed) Collected<br/>through xxxxx sampling</li> <li>(To be confirmed) based on<br/>service plan reviews</li> <li>Available for trend analysis</li> <li>Based on CMS specifications</li> </ul> | KDADS HCBS<br>Quality Review<br>Report (October-<br>December 2018) in<br>KanCare 1115<br>Waiver Quarterly<br>Report to CMS<br>(page 39)  |

| specified in their service plan<br>(PM9; This measure will be<br>removed from the state<br>reporting.) | Applicable directly without<br>additional resources |  |
|--|---|--|
|--|---|--|

• **RC11b.** Overall, do KanCare members feel they have adequate access to care and services?

| Measure   | Data Source   | Report  |
|---|---|---|
| In the last 6 months, when you<br>(your child) needed care right<br>away, how often did you (your<br>child) get care as soon as you<br>(he or she) needed?<br>In the last 6 months, how often<br>did you get (when you made)<br>an appointment for a check-up<br>or routine care (for your child)<br>at a doctor's office or clinic<br>(how often did you get an<br>appointment) as soon as you<br>(your child) needed?<br>How often was it easy to get<br>the care, tests, or treatment<br>you (your child) needed?<br>How often did you get an<br>appointment (for your child) to<br>see a specialist as soon as you<br>needed? | <ul> <li>Health Plan Consumer Assessment of<br/>Healthcare Providers and Systems<br/>(CAHPS)</li> <li>Measures are: <ul> <li>Available in public reports</li> <li>Collected by certified third-party venders</li> <li>Collected through random sampling</li> <li>For KanCare members overall and subgroups including adults, children and children with chronic conditions</li> <li>Collected annually</li> <li>Available for trend analysis</li> <li>With available national benchmarks (Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality)</li> <li>Applicable directly without additional resources</li> <li>Not able to represent population subgroups, e.g., members with disability</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | Kepon<br>KanCare<br>Evaluation Annual<br>Report (Table 42,<br>page 175) |
| I was able to get all the<br>services I thought I needed.<br>My family got as much help as<br>we needed for my child.<br>Services were available at<br>times that were good for me<br>(convenient for us/me).<br>My mental health providers<br>returned my calls in 24 hours.   | <ul> <li>Mental Health Survey</li> <li>Measures are:</li> <li>Available in public reports</li> <li>Based on a random sample of<br/>KanCare members who received<br/>one or more mental health services<br/>in the prior six-month period</li> <li>Collected annually</li> <li>Available for trend analysis</li> <li>Able to be compared to data in the<br/>Mental Health Statistics<br/>Improvement Program and SAMHSA<br/>Uniform Reporting System (to be<br/>confirmed)</li> <li>Applicable directly without additional<br/>resources</li> </ul>  | KanCare<br>Evaluation Annual<br>Report (Table 43,<br>page 178)          |

|   |  | 11/1/2019         |
|---|--|-------------------|
| Is the distance you travel to           | SUD Survey   | KanCare           |
| your counselor a problem or             | Measures are:  | Evaluation Annual |
| not a problem?                          | <ul> <li>Available in public reports</li> </ul>            | Report (page      |
| Were you placed on a waiting            | Based on convenience sampling of                           | 180-181)          |
| list?                                   | KanCare members who accessed                               |                   |
| If you were placed on a waiting         | SUD treatment services                                     |                   |
| list, how long was the wait?            | <ul> <li>Collected annually</li> </ul>                     |                   |
| For urgent problems, how                | <ul> <li>Available for trend analysis (years</li> </ul>    |                   |
| satisfied are you with the time it      | 2012, 2014, 2015, 2016 and 2017)                           |                   |
| took to see someone?                    | <ul> <li>Applicable directly without additional</li> </ul> |                   |
| Thinking back to your first             | resources  |                   |
| appointment for your current            | <ul> <li>Less likely to be representative of</li> </ul>    |                   |
| treatment, did you get an               | members with SUD   |                   |
| appointment as soon as you              |  |                   |
| wanted?                                 | Lleelth Effectiveness Date and                             | Netevoileble      |
| Children and Adolescents'               | Health Effectiveness Data and                              | Not available     |
| Access to Primary Care<br>Practitioners | Information Set (HEDIS)<br>Measures are:                   |                   |
| Fractitioners                           |  |                   |
|   | Not available  |                   |
|   | • For age groups—12-24 months, 25                          |                   |
|   | months to 6 years, 7-11 years and                          |                   |
|   | 12-19 years  |                   |
|   | • (to be confirmed) based on claims                        |                   |
|   | data, medical record reviews or                            |                   |
|   | hybrid approach  |                   |
|   | Able to be compared to national                            |                   |
|   | benchmarks by NCQA   |                   |
|   | Available for trend analysis                               |                   |
|   | In need of additional resources to                         |                   |
|   | derive from claims data and/or                             |                   |
|   | medical record reviews                                     |                   |

Stakeholder Question: What is the network adequacy in KanCare, relative to a benchmark (e.g., contract standard)? If network adequacy is below the benchmark, why?

Research Question: What is the current measure for network adequacy in KanCare relative to a benchmark (e.g., contract standard)?

| KMMC Measure Assessment Tool (Note: In eac  | h category, check all that apply)  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Measures  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Percent of members (or the % of the state) covered within network adequacy standards by<br/>provider type, MCO, and geographies</li> </ol> |  |  |
| <ol><li>Number and percent of members not within<br/>geography</li></ol>  | n access distance by MCO, provider type, and   |  |
| geography   | % provider access by MCO, provider type, and   |  |
| Data Source(s): KanCare Managed Care Organ  | ization Network Access   |  |
| Source of Report:   |  |  |
|   | der Network – GeoAccess PAGES 155 – 175  |  |
| 2. KanCare Evaluation Annual Report, TABL   |  |  |
| 3. KanCare Evaluation Annual Report, TABL   |  |  |
| Availability  | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.<br>Raw data are not publicly available. |  |
| Measure available in public reports   | Raw data are not publicly available.   |  |
| Measure available but not for public  |  |  |
| consumption   |  |  |
| Measure not currently available   |  |  |
| Other Methods   | Summary notae and elerifying comments here   |  |
| Study Population  | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.   |  |
| ⊠ KanCare members overall/in general  |  |  |
| Subgroup, (specify: Provider specialty,   |  |  |
| county type, and MCO)   |  |  |
| Data Source   |  |  |
| ☑ Administrative reports  |  |  |
| □ Claims data   |  |  |
| □ Survey with random sampling   |  |  |
| □ Survey with convenience sampling  |  |  |
| □ Other<br>Data collection frequency  |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| □ Monthly   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| ☐ Annually<br>☑ Other Quarterly   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Other <u>Quarterry</u></li> <li>Potential for longitudinal analysis. Data has</li> </ul>   |  |  |
| been consistently measured since 2013   |  |  |
| Standards   | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.   |  |
| Derivation meets industry standard  | KDHE Benchmarks (pp. 8-19) -   |  |
| ☑ Yes (specify sources KDHE Benchmark)  | https://www.kancare.ks.gov/docs/default-   |  |
| Benchmark   | source/policies-and-reports/network-adequacy-  |  |
| National benchmark  | reporting/final-geoaccess-standardseffective-5-                                      |  |
| Peer state benchmark  | 31-19-with-hcbs-<br>standards.pdf?sfvrsn=914e4c1b_4                                  |  |

|  | 11/1/2019   |
|--|---|
| Private payer benchmark  | Examples of Other States' Standards for CMS (p.   |
| Prior performance as benchmark   | 37) -   |
| Other KDHE Benchmark   | https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/managed-  |
| □ Not available  | care/downloads/guidance/adequacy-and-access-<br>toolkit.pdf   |
| Resources/Effort Required  | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.  |
| ⊠ Direct application   |   |
| Some transformation/revision   |   |
| □ Moderate transformation/revision   |   |
| Significant transformation/revision  |   |
| □ New measure needed   |   |
| □ Other  |   |
| Limitations □ Data quality issues (e.g., missing, consistency) □ Generalizability □ Certain groups are not represented ⊠ Other | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.<br>The reporting reflects how MCOs have fulfilled the<br>requirements in the contract and their coverage<br>areas. Other measures from surveys provide the<br>perspective from consumers, e.g., whether people<br>get an appointment in a timely manner. The<br>descriptions regarding process of "secret<br>shoppers" and the steps to be taken when there is<br>no provider available to provide services could<br>further help understand the network adequacy. |
| Other Notes: The final GeoAccess Standards available on KanCare website has included HCBS                                      |   |

standards since January 1, 2019

Stakeholder Question: What is the network adequacy in KanCare, relative to a benchmark (e.g., contract standard)? If network adequacy is below the benchmark, why?

Research Question: What is the current measure for network adequacy in KanCare relative to a benchmark (e.g., contract standard)?

| KMMC Measure Assessment Tool (Note: In each category, check all that apply)                  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| 1. (PM8) Number and percent of waiver participants who received services in the type, scope, |   |  |
| amount, duration, and frequency specified i  | •   |  |
| <ol><li>(PM9) Number and percent of survey respo</li></ol>                                   | ndents who reported receiving all services as   |  |
| specified in their service plan.   |   |  |
| Data Source(s): KDADS HCBS Quality Review F  |   |  |
| 1. <u>KDADS HCBS Quality Review Report</u> Octo  |   |  |
| 2. KDADS HCBS Quality Review Report Octo   |   |  |
| Availability<br>Measure available in public reports  | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.<br>Raw data may not be available to researchers. |  |
|  | Naw data may not be available to researchers.   |  |
| Measure available but not for public   |   |  |
| consumption  |   |  |
| Measure not currently available  |   |  |
| Other      Methods   | Summery notes and eleviting comments here   |  |
| Study Population   | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.  |  |
| □ KanCare members overall/in general   |   |  |
| Subgroup, (specify: <b>Measure 1</b> - Waiver  |   |  |
| Participants whose service plans were  |   |  |
| reviewed. <b>Measure 2</b> – Waiver Participants   |   |  |
| interviewed by QMS staff)  |   |  |
| Data Source  | Methods information:  |  |
| □ Administrative reports   | https://www.kdads.ks.gov/docs/default-  |  |
| □ Claims data  | source/legislative-information-and-<br>advocacy/2017/January/house-hhs-kancare-               |  |
| Survey with random sampling  | renewalkdads-opertional-plan-1-23-  |  |
| Survey with convenience sampling   | 17.pdf?sfvrsn=f50339ee_2  |  |
| □ Other  |   |  |
| Data collection frequency  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
| □ Monthly  |   |  |
| □ Annually   |   |  |
| ⊠ Other Quarterly  | Measure 1 will be revised and replaced soon,  |  |
| Potential for longitudinal analysis. Data has  | and <b>Measure 2</b> will be removed as a measure   |  |
| been consistently measured since 2013  | soon – see Limitations below  |  |
| Standards  | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.  |  |
| Derivation meets industry standard   | Reports to CMS.   |  |
| ☑ Yes (specify sources <u>CMS)</u>   |   |  |
| Benchmark  | Examples of similar/same measures from other  |  |
| National benchmark   | states:   |  |
| Peer state benchmark   | IL External Quality Review Annual Report State<br>Fiscal Years 2017-2018                      |  |
| Private payer benchmark  | Measure 1: p. F-7 (372) (Measure 39D)   |  |
| Prior performance as benchmark   | Measure 2: p. F-6 (371) (Measure 40D)   |  |
| □ Other  | ······································  |  |
| □ Not available  |   |  |

|   | 11/1/2019   |
|---|---|
| Resources/Effort Required         Image: Direct application         Image: Some transformation/revision         Image: Moderate transformation/revision         Image: Significant transformation/revision         Image: New measure needed         Image: Other | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.  |
| Limitations<br>□ Data quality issues (e.g., missing, consistency)<br>⊠ Generalizability<br>⊠ Certain groups are not represented<br>□ Other  | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.<br>Measure 1: PM8 is being revised and will soon<br>be replaced as: "Number and percent of waiver<br>participants who received services and supports<br>as authorized in their person-centered service<br>plans."                                |
|   | <b>Measure 2:</b> PM9 will be removed/no longer<br>reported to CMS as part of the upcoming<br>revisions to the global HCBS performance<br>measures. The quality manager has recently<br>confirmed that this measure will no longer be<br>tracked or reported once the waivers are<br>amended. |
| Other Notes:  |   |

Stakeholder Question: What is the network adequacy in KanCare, relative to a benchmark (e.g., contract standard)? If network adequacy is below the benchmark, why? Research Question: Overall, do KanCare members feel they have adequate access to care and

services?

| KMMC Measure Assessment Tool (Note: In each category, check all that apply) |   |  |
|---|---|--|
|   | needed care right away, how often did you (your |  |
| child) get care as soon as you (he or she) n                                |   |  |
|   | (when you made) an appointment for a check-up   |  |
| or routine care (for your child) at a doctor's                              |   |  |
| appointment) as soon as you (your child) ne                                 |   |  |
| 3. How often was it easy to get the care, tests                             |   |  |
| <ol> <li>How often did you get an appointment (for y needed?</li> </ol>     | your child) to see a specialist as soon as you  |  |
| Data Source(s): CAHPS   |   |  |
| 1. KanCare Evaluation Annual Report, TABLE                                  | 42 on PAGE 175                                  |  |
| 2. KanCare Evaluation Annual Report, TABLE                                  |   |  |
| 3. KanCare Evaluation Annual Report, TABLE                                  |   |  |
| 4. KanCare Evaluation Annual Report, TABLE                                  |   |  |
| Availability  | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.    |  |
| ☑ Measure available in public reports                                       | Raw CAHPS data not available to researchers.    |  |
| Measure available but not for public  |   |  |
| consumption   |   |  |
| □ Measure not currently available   |   |  |
| □ Other   |   |  |
| Methods   | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.    |  |
| Study Population  | Sampling design does not currently support      |  |
| ⊠ KanCare members overall/in general  | subgroup analysis (e.g., those with disability, |  |
| Subgroup, (specify: Adult; General Child;                                   | elderly).                                       |  |
| Child w/ Chronic Conditions)  |   |  |
| Data Source   |   |  |
| Administrative reports  |   |  |
|   |   |  |
| Survey with random sampling   |   |  |
| □ Survey with convenience sampling  |   |  |
| Other Data collection frequency   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
| □ Monthly<br>⊠ Appualty   |   |  |
| ⊠ Annually<br>□ Other   |   |  |
| □ Other ☑ Potential for longitudinal analysis. Data has                     |   |  |
| been consistently measured since <u>2014</u>                                |   |  |
| Standards   | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.    |  |
| Derivation meets industry standard  | Look at https://www.ahrg.gov/cahps/cahps-       |  |
| ☑ Yes (specify sources <u>AHRQ</u> )  | database/index.html for more information        |  |
| Benchmark   |   |  |
| National benchmark  | Potential National Benchmarks:                  |  |
| Peer state benchmark  |   |  |

|  | 11/1/2019   |
|--|---|
| Private payer benchmark                            | Measure 1, 2, 3, and 4: p. 7 – Getting Care       |
| Prior performance as benchmark                     | Quickly Composite – for adults; p. 12 – Getting   |
| □ Other  | Care Quickly for a Child Composite – for children |
| □ Not available                                    |   |
| Resources/Effort Required                          | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.      |
| ☑ Direct application                               |   |
| □ Some transformation/revision                     |   |
| □ Moderate transformation/revision                 |   |
| □ Significant transformation/revision              |   |
| □ New measure needed                               |   |
| Other  |   |
| Limitations  | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.      |
| □ Data quality issues (e.g., missing, consistency) |   |
| Generalizability                                   |   |
| Certain groups are not represented                 |   |
| □ Other  |   |
| Other Notes:                                       |   |

Stakeholder Question: What is the network adequacy in KanCare, relative to a benchmark (e.g., contract standard)? If network adequacy is below the benchmark, why? Research Question: Overall, do KanCare members feel they have adequate access to care and services?

| KMMC Measure Assessment Tool (Note: In each category, check all that apply) |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| 1. I was able to get all the services I thought I                           |  |  |
| 2. My family got as much help as we needed f                                | or my child.   |  |
| 3. Services were available at times that were                               | good for me (convenient for us/me).  |  |
| 4. My mental health providers returned my cal                               | ls in 24 hours.  |  |
| Data Source(s): Member Survey – Mental Health                               | 1  |  |
| 1. KanCare Evaluation Annual Report, TABLE                                  | 43 on PAGE 178   |  |
| 2. KanCare Evaluation Annual Report, TABLE                                  | 43 on PAGE 178   |  |
| 3. KanCare Evaluation Annual Report, TABLE                                  | 43 on PAGE 179   |  |
| 4. KanCare Evaluation Annual Report, TABLE                                  |  |  |
| Availability  | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.   |  |
| ☑ Measure available in public reports                                       | Raw MH Survey data may not be available to   |  |
| Measure available but not for public  | researchers.   |  |
| consumption   |  |  |
| Measure not currently available   |  |  |
| □ Other   |  |  |
| Methods   | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.   |  |
| Study Population  | Sampling design does not currently support   |  |
| □ KanCare members overall/in general  | subgroup analysis (e.g., those with disability,  |  |
| Subgroup, (specify: KanCare members   | elderly).  |  |
| who receive one or more MH services in the                                  |  |  |
| prior six-month period)   |  |  |
| Data Source   |  |  |
| □ Administrative reports  |  |  |
| □ Claims data   |  |  |
| Survey with random sampling   |  |  |
| Survey with convenience sampling  |  |  |
| □ Other   |  |  |
| Data collection frequency   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| Monthly   |  |  |
| 🛛 Annually  |  |  |
| Other   |  |  |
| Potential for longitudinal analysis. Data has                               |  |  |
| been consistently measured since 2011                                       | -  |  |
| Standards   | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.   |  |
| Derivation meets industry standard  | Uniform Reporting System (URS) is a state,   |  |
| ⊠ Yes (specify sources <u>SAMSHA URS</u> )                                  | national, and territories reporting system   |  |
| Benchmark   | collected annually to support the Community<br>Mental Health Services Block Grant program. |  |
| ⊠ National benchmark  | State Mental Health Authorities report on  |  |
| Peer state benchmark  | National Outcome Measures (NOMS), evidence-  |  |
| Private payer benchmark   | based practices, and utilization measures  |  |
| Prior performance as benchmark  | providing an overview of state mental health   |  |
| □ Other   | delivery systems.  |  |

|  | 11/1/2019   |
|--|---|
| □ Not available                                    | Potential National Benchmark: <u>2018 SAMSHA</u><br><u>Uniform Reporting System (URS)</u><br><b>Measure 1:</b> p. 2 – Access to Services under<br>Adult Consumer Survey Measures<br><b>Measure 2:</b> p. 2 – Access to Services under<br>Child/Family Consumer Survey Measures<br><b>Measure 3:</b> p. 2 – Quality/Appropriateness of<br>Services under Adult Consumer Survey<br>Measures |
|  | Measure 4: p. 2 – Quality/Appropriateness of<br>Services under Adult Consumer Survey<br>Measures  |
| Resources/Effort Required                          | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.  |
| ⊠ Direct application                               |   |
| □ Some transformation/revision                     |   |
| Moderate transformation/revision                   |   |
| □ Significant transformation/revision              |   |
| □ New measure needed                               |   |
| □ Other  |   |
| Limitations  | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.  |
| □ Data quality issues (e.g., missing, consistency) |   |
| Generalizability                                   |   |
| Certain groups are not represented                 |   |
| □ Other  |   |
| Other Notes:                                       |   |
|  |   |

Stakeholder Question: What is the network adequacy in KanCare, relative to a benchmark (e.g., contract standard)? If network adequacy is below the benchmark, why? Research Question: Overall, do KanCare members feel they have adequate access to care and services?

| KMMC Measure Assessment Tool (Note: In each category, check all that apply)                        |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| 1. Is the distance you travel to your counselor a problem or not a problem?                        |   |  |
| 2. Were you placed on a waiting list?  |   |  |
| <ol><li>If you were placed on a waiting list, how long was the wait?</li></ol>                     |   |  |
| <ol><li>For urgent problems, how satisfied are you with the time it took to see someone?</li></ol> |   |  |
| 5. Thinking back to your first appointment for your current treatment, did you get an appointment  |   |  |
| as soon as you wanted?   |   |  |
| Data Source(s): Member Survey – SUD  |   |  |
| 1. <u>KanCare Evaluation Annual Report</u> , in text on PAGE 181                                   |   |  |
| 2. <u>KanCare Evaluation Annual Report</u> , in text on PAGE 181                                   |   |  |
| 3. <u>KanCare Evaluation Annual Report</u> , in text on PAGE 181                                   |   |  |
| 4. <u>KanCare Evaluation Annual Report</u> , in text   |   |  |
| 5. <u>KanCare Evaluation Annual Report</u> , in text<br>Availability                               |   |  |
|  | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.<br>Raw SUD Survey data may not be available to |  |
| Measure available in public reports  | researchers.  |  |
| Measure available but not for public   |   |  |
| Consumption  |   |  |
| Measure not currently available  |   |  |
| □ Other<br>Methods   | Summer , notes and clarifying comments have   |  |
| Study Population   | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.<br>Sampling design does not currently support  |  |
| □ KanCare members overall/in general   | subgroup analysis (e.g., those with disability,   |  |
|  | elderly).   |  |
| Subgroup, (specify: KanCare members who accessed SUD treatment services)                           |   |  |
| Data Source  | "The 2012 results are reported for the SUD  |  |
| □ Administrative reports   | survey questions in this report; however, due to  |  |
| $\Box$ Claims data   | the difference in numbers of survey respondents   |  |
| □ Survey with random sampling  | and the additional non-Medicaid members   |  |
| Survey with convenience sampling   | surveyed in 2012, comparisons cannot be directly made with survey results in 2014 to        |  |
| □ Other  | 2017." p. 140 of KFMC report  |  |
| Data collection frequency  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
| □ Monthly  |   |  |
| $\Box$ Annually  |   |  |
| -  |   |  |
| ⊠ Other (Years: 2012, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017)  |   |  |
| □ Potential for longitudinal analysis. Data has  |   |  |
| been consistently measured since   |   |  |
| Standards  | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.  |  |
| Derivation meets industry standard   | Can't find anything in other states or nationally   |  |
| □ Yes (specify sources)  | for these measures.   |  |
| Benchmark  |   |  |
| National benchmark   |   |  |
| Peer state benchmark   |   |  |
|  |   |  |

# 11/1/2019

| Private payer benchmark                            |  |
|--|--|
| Prior performance as benchmark                     |  |
| □ Other  |  |
| ⊠ Not available                                    |  |
| Resources/Effort Required                          | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here. |
| ☑ Direct application                               |  |
| □ Some transformation/revision                     |  |
| □ Moderate transformation/revision                 |  |
| □ Significant transformation/revision              |  |
| □ New measure needed                               |  |
| □ Other  |  |
| Limitations  | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here. |
| □ Data quality issues (e.g., missing, consistency) |  |
| ⊠ Generalizability                                 |  |
| Certain groups are not represented                 |  |
| □ Other  |  |
| Other Notes:                                       |  |
|  |  |

Stakeholder Question: What is the network adequacy in KanCare, relative to a benchmark (e.g., contract standard)? If network adequacy is below the benchmark, why? Research Question: Overall, do KanCare members feel they have adequate access to care and services?

| KMMC Measure Assessment Tool (Note: In each  | a category check all that apply)  |  |
|--|---|--|
| KMMC Measure Assessment Tool (Note: In each category, check all that apply)  |   |  |
| Measure: Children and Adolescents' Access to Primary Care Practitioners<br>Data Source(s): Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) |   |  |
| Source of Measure: Healthcare Effectiveness Data   |   |  |
| Availability   | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.  |  |
| Measure available in public reports  | Available publicly at the national-level but not the  |  |
| Measure available but not for public   | state-level.  |  |
| consumption  |   |  |
| Measure not currently available  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
| Methods  | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.  |  |
| Study Population   | Sampling design does not currently support  |  |
| ☐ KanCare members overall/in general   | subgroup analysis (e.g., those with disability,   |  |
| ⊠ Subgroup, (specify: Age Groups – 12-24   | elderly). (Results Here)  |  |
| Months, 25 months – 6 years, 7-11 years, and   |   |  |
| 12-19 years and Payers – Commercial,   | Data Cause information  |  |
| Medicaid, and Medicare)  | Data Source information:<br>https://www.ncga.org/hedis/data-submission/                         |  |
| Data Source  | https://www.hcqa.org/nedis/data-submission/   |  |
| ☐ Administrative reports   |   |  |
| ⊠ Claims data  |   |  |
| Survey with random sampling  |   |  |
| Survey with convenience sampling   |   |  |
| □ Other  |   |  |
| Data collection frequency  |   |  |
| Ongoing  |   |  |
| □ Monthly  |   |  |
| ⊠ Annually   |   |  |
| □ Other  |   |  |
| Potential for longitudinal analysis. Data has  |   |  |
| been consistently measured since 2003  |   |  |
| Standards  | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.  |  |
| Derivation meets industry standard   | National Committee for Quality Assurance  |  |
| ☑ Yes (specify sources <u>NCQA</u> )<br>Benchmark  | (NCQA)'s Quality Compass allows health plans, purchasers, consultants, and media to examine     |  |
| ⊠ National benchmark   | quality improvement and benchmark plan  |  |
|  | performance for comparative health plan   |  |
| Peer state benchmark      Private power has abmorted   | performance analyses – <u>here</u> .  |  |
| <ul> <li>Private payer benchmark</li> <li>Drive participation on the participation</li> </ul>  |   |  |
| ☑ Prior performance as benchmark   | Can use the national numbers posted <u>here</u> as a  |  |
| □ Other  | benchmark if we get access to the Kansas  |  |
| □ Not available  | numbers for this measure.   |  |
| Resources/Effort Required  | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here.  |  |
| □ Direct application   | Need to request the Kansas-level numbers from<br>HEDIS or the organization reporting numbers to |  |
| Some transformation/revision   | NCQA.   |  |
| Moderate transformation/revision   |   |  |

|   | 11,1/2010                                    |  |
|---|--|--|
| □ Significant transformation/revision   |  |  |
| □ New measure needed  |  |  |
| □ Other   |  |  |
| Limitations   | Summary, notes and clarifying comments here. |  |
| □ Data quality issues (e.g., missing, consistency)  |  |  |
| □ Generalizability  |  |  |
| Certain groups are not represented  |  |  |
| □ Other   |  |  |
| Other Notes: Can compare Medicaid numbers to Commercial HMOs and PPOs numbers provided in |  |  |
| results.  |  |  |